

Consultee comments	
Maidenhead Civic Society	The Civic Society has no objection to the current diversion proposal. We note that the access road is to be widened to accommodate the extended FP12. There was a rumour that Summerleaze wanted to remove footpaths from this road. If true evidently this is no longer the case.
Sustrans	I would like to confirm Sustrans' support for the diversion of National Cycle Network (NCN) Route 50 in the north of Maidenhead. The measures outlined in this application will allow the NCN to remain a benefit to the local area, including residents, businesses, schools and visitors. Maintaining access to this section of NCN Route 50 also aligns with the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead's aspirations to improve active travel across the county, which Sustrans greatly supports. An active travel route in Maidenhead fits with Sustrans' mission to make it easier for people to walk and cycle, along with our Paths For Everyone report to improve and extend the NCN, making it accessible to all user groups, including those with disabilities. Moreover, the diversion would maintain a section of NCN Route 50 which Sustrans envisages to extend, with many sections of this route previously being removed from our network because it did not fulfil our 'for everyone' criteria, due to the high vehicle speeds and flows on rural roads. The diversion is more direct than the existing route, which is a great benefit to increasing sustainable travel. We would also strongly encourage that an impermeable surfacing is considered over a limestone dust surface course because, as described in Local Transport Note 1/20, a sealed surfacing is more accessible for adaptive cycles, prams and wheelchairs. A tarmacked surfacing also has a far longer life span than a dust surfacing, ensuring that maintenance responsibilities are less on behalf of the landowner. I would also like to invite the relevant person to contact me if there if there is any further information needed for the support of the diversion, and I would also like to revisit the conversation around barriers on other sections of the NCN owned by the landowner. I hope this letter of support opens up a positive dialogue for may years to come, which will in term bring positive benefits to the local community and economy
EBR	I can confirm that we have no objection to this diversion.
Cookham Parish Council	By a majority vote, the Council wish to object to the plan to move Maidenhead footpath 19 with the comment that 'this will give a less satisfactory walking experience'.
Local Access Forum	AGREED: The LAF supported the recommendations of the changes. In response to an enquiry from a LAF member, it was confirmed that there was a written correspondence confirming that the proposed

Appendix 2: consultation responses

	diverted path would be 3m wide rather than 2.5m as in the application.
--	--